

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/042,580	01/09/2002	Timothy C. Loose	47079-0130	6596
75	590 05/28/2004		EXAMINER	
Michael J. Blankstein			COBURN, CORBETT B	
WMS Gaming Inc. 800 South Northpoint Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Waukegan, IL 60085			3714	

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/1
Advisory Action	10/042,580	LOOSE, TIMOTHY	c. $JVV$
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	1
	Corbett B. Coburn	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cov r sh t with the c	orrespondenc add	r ss
THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe	void abandonment of this applice  1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate tee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) M they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: <u>See Attached</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<del></del> •	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Attached</u>			

Application/Control Number: 10/042,580 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Having a plurality of sensors and emitters is a new issue requiring further search and consideration. Therefore the amendment to the claims will not be entered. Furthermore, claims 19-24 are new claims and Applicant has not cancelled a corresponding number of existing claims.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed 3 May 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 3. With respect to claims 1-5, 7-15, 17 & 18, Applicant's arguments are drawn to the claims as amended. Since the amendments have not been entered, the arguments are moot.
- 4. With respect to claims 6 & 16, Applicant makes two arguments. One argument is based on the claims as amendment and is moot. The other argument is that Gomez does not suggest the need for increasing flexibility of the layout of the casino, therefore there is no suggestion to combine. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it is generally known to one of ordinary skill in the art that cabling gaming

machine in a casino is a nightmare. A casino may have 1,000 or more slot machines. Running cables for these machines is an extremely difficult task. It also places severe constraints on the arrangement of machines on the floor. The flexibility offered by wireless communication is a well-known answer to this problem.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (703) 305-3319. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's primary, Jessica Harrison can be reached on (703) 308-2217. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).